

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,212	07/09/2001	Geoffrey S. Strongin	2000.054000	6397	
23720 7590 01/03/2007 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON EXAMINER				IINER	
10333 RICHM	IOND, SUITE 1100		MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
HOUSTON, T	X 77042		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2131 .		
	···				
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/03/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		A	A			
Office Action Commons		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/901,212	STRONGIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aravind K. Moorthy	2131			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,16,34 and 41</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-15,17-33 and 35-40</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. to.	· .			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) <u></u> 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority II	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		<u></u>				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This is in response to the arguments filed on 11 October 2006.
- 2. Claims 1-41 are pending in the application.
- 3. Claims 1, 16, 34 and 41 have been rejected.
- 4. Claims 2-15, 17-33 and 35-40 have been objected to.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11 October 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 2, the applicant argues that a terminal disclaimer has been filed and requests that the rejections of claims 1, 16, 34 and 41 be withdrawn.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The office has not received a terminal disclaimer from the applicant. The examiner has attempted numerous times to reach the applicant in order to submit the terminal disclaimer. However, no terminal disclaimer has been received. The examiner maintains the rejection of claims 1, 16, 34 and 41.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting Art Unit: 2131

ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 16, 34 and 41 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,973,566 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

As to claim 1, Smith et al discloses a computer system, comprising:

a peripheral device [column 12, lines 9-32];

a processing unit adapted to execute a driver for interfacing with the peripheral device in a standard mode of operation and an authentication agent in a privileged mode of operation, wherein the authentication agent includes program instructions adapted to authenticate the driver [column 12, lines 9-32].

As to claim 16, Smith et al discloses a communications system, comprising:

a physical layer hardware unit adapted to communicate data over a communications channel in accordance with assigned transmission parameters, the physical layer hardware unit being adapted to receive an incoming signal over the communications channel and sample the incoming signal to generate a digital received signal [column 12, lines 9-32]; and

a processing unit adapted to execute a modem driver in a standard mode of operation and an authentication agent in a privileged mode of operation, wherein the standard mode driver includes program instructions adapted to extract control

Application/Control Number: 09/901,212

Art Unit: 2131

Page 4

codes from the digital received signal and configure the physical layer hardware assigned transmission parameters based on the control codes, and the authentication agent includes program instructions adapted to authenticate the modem driver [column 12, lines 9-32].

As to claims 34 and 41, Smith et al discloses a method for identifying security violations in a computer system, comprising:

executing a driver in a standard processing mode of a processing unit [column 12, lines 9-32];

transitioning the processing unit into a privileged processing mode [column 12, lines 9-32]; and

authenticating the driver in the privileged processing mode [column 12, lines 9-32].

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2-15, 17-33 and 35-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,212

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aravind K Moorthy December 21, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6